ORIGINAL

1	THE ORANGE COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY STATE OF NEW YORK
2	x
3	In The Matter of
4	Re: MACK BROS. LTD
5	x
6	November 19, 2022 4:00 p.m.
7	Town of Goshen Town Hall 41 Webster Avenue
8	Goshen, New York
9	
10	
11	B E F O R E: WILLIAM FIORAVANTI CEO OCNYIDA
12	CEO OCNIIDA
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	
22	FRANCES ROTH
23	Court Stenographer 168 North Drury Lane
24	Newburgh, New York 12550 Telephone (845) 566-1641
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	WILLIAM FIORAVANTI CEO OCNYIDA
5	4 Crotty Lane New Windsor, New York 12553
6	ALSO PRESENT: Marty Borras
7	Administrative Assistant
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
4	

1 MR. FIORAVANTI: Good afternoon everyone, thanks for being here. My name is Bill

2 Fioravanti, I'm the C.E.O. of the Orange County 3 4 Industrial Development Agency and we are here for 5 the public hearing for Mack Bros. otherwise known 6 as Milmar Foods. Thank you all for being here 7 again. Let me just make a couple introductions 8 and then we'll get to the Pledge and the rest of our agenda. Again, my name is Bill Fioravanti, 9 Director of the Orange County Industrial 10 Development Agency. I have Marty Borras from the 11 12 I.D.A. as well, Miss Frances Roth is our stenographer for today, the applicant, 13 representing the applicant is Mr. Roy Makinen 14 from Milmar/Mack Bros., Mr. David Higgins is the 15 engineering consultant and Mr. John Cappello is 16 legal representation. So they may contribute to 17 18 a small portion of the presentation, we're not 19 going to have a lengthy presentation, just want 20 to make sure everyone understands the project, the scope of it, what's being considered for 21 incentives. And then when I've run through a few 22 23 things I'll open up to public comment. Just to make the announcement one more time, I believe 24 25 everyone did sign in but if you do want to speak

please sign on the pad there. And I'd like to start with the Pledge of Allegiance please, if you would stand for the Pledge, the flag is to my right.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FIORAVANTI: Thank you. Before I get into this matter, it's going to be I think a little more common practice for us here at the Orange County IDA, we're trying to do a much better job of helping to educate the public about exactly what we do, make sure because there's a lot of misunderstanding about exactly the role of the IDA and the types of incentives that we offer. So I just want to go through a couple things. First of all, and these details will be in the public hearing notice that was put out several weeks ago, but first of all, this applicant is looking for what I'd like to call the three-legged stool of IDA benefits and this is basically all we offer, the IDA itself. have a sister agency, the Orange County Funding Corporation through which we can offer, issue tax exempt revenue bonds and such, but with the IDA, there's three possible benefits, a sales tax

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	i
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	i
22	
23	
24	

25

exemption that's for building materials, for equipment, for furniture, fixtures for a project. Of course in Orange County we have an 8.125 percent sales tax, they can be exempted from that during, for the scope of their project. The second is mortgage recording tax exemption, on a commercial mortgage for a project such as this one there is a tax of 1.05 percent on the principal of the mortgage that's being taken, we can exempt that as well. The third benefit again, the third leg of this stool is a, what's commonly known as a PILOT, Payment In Lieu Of Taxes, or a property tax abatement and the term abatement really just means phase in. lieu of taxes meaning rather than this project being assessed like our homes are every year, what's it worth, what are the taxes going to be and that can deviate every single year, this provides certainty, predictability and a clear payment schedule for the applicant if approved of The idea of a phase in, I want to be course. clear that taxes never go down, they only go up, we don't write anyone checks, we don't provide any grants, nothing like that, it's just savings on taxes for the investment of this project. The

	property tax abatement for the most part usually
	starts where whatever the, in the case of land,
	raw land and construction project being built
	from the ground up there, the taxes usually start
	at whatever that lien is generating in taxes
	right now and they will go up over the term of
	the PILOT, in this case because it's a
	manufacturer and per our tax exempt policy we
	are, the applicant can apply for a 15 year PILOT
D)	so over the course of 15 years the taxes will go
	from what they are now to what they'll be full
	boat and I'll give you that number in a moment,
	and they go up usually gradually typically in a
	straight line type of a progression there. Just
	to clarify a couple other misunderstandings,
	these are all new, what I'll call incremental
	taxes that will result from this project. If
	this project doesn't happen the taxes don't
	materialize because we're giving them a savings
	or a phase in of those property taxes, no other
	resident, constituent or business shoulders the
	remainder of the taxes, there's no such thing.
	There are less that are being charged to this
:	applicant over that period. Again, there's no
	remainder to be made up by any other taxpayer in

1		the town or otherwise. Special districts, so
2		fire, ambulance, police, those are, they're a
3		special district that taxpayers pay into to fund
4		those services. With a PILOT or again a property
5		tax abatement or any of these other benefits, the
6		applicant cannot avoid any of those special
7		district payments ever. So those will be paid in
8		full from the get-go always, the new incremental
9		special district taxes will be covered. The then
10		the last piece I'd like to point out is sometimes
11		I think it can be confusing, it can be, it can
12		give I think a challenging perspective of the
13		fact that projects that come to the IDA also go
14		usually through the planning board approval
15		process. And they're doing that simultaneously,
16		sometimes they're completing the planning board
17		process before they even come to us and that is
18		for a reason. IDAs are not allowed to award
19		benefits, incentives to any sort of project until
20		that project has a determination through the
21		SEQRA process. And those, I think people here
22		understand, know what that acronym is, that's the
23		State Environmental Quality Review Act, New York
24	=	State's very stringent environmental impact
25		assessment process, an environmental review and

approval, the New York State General Municipal Law requires that an applicant go through the SEQRA process and get a determination as to positive or negative, you'll hear about positive, it is determined it will have an environmental impact, negative if it does not. Either way they need a determination before the IDA can award benefits. The challenge with that what I consider a catch 22 if I can use that term is that it appears often to the public and to all of us that while they're going through the approval process, they must be, they're going to do this anyway, they don't need any sort of incentive. Again, I understand that perspective, that perception but again, the fact is that they cannot even have IDA benefits unless they go through that process. So that was just one of the other things I wanted to clarify. Next I'd like to read the notice of public hearing. was put out in the newspaper, put on our website, and a few other venues in order to make sure the public is aware of it, it is wordy but please allow me to read it in full. Notice is hereby given that a public hearing pursuant to Article 18-A of the New York General Municipal Law will

25

1	be held by the Orange County Industrial
2	Development Agency, the Agency, on November 17,
3	2022 at 4:00 p.m. local time in the Town of
4	Goshen Town Hall, 41 Webster Avenue, Goshen, New
5	York, in connection with the following matter.
6	Mack Bros. Ltd, a New York corporation for itself
7	or on behalf of an entity to be formed,
8	collectively to be known as the Company herein,
9	requested the Agency undertake a project known as
10	the Project, consisting of: (A)(i) the
11	acquisition of a leasehold interest in
12	approximately 60 acres of improved real property
13	located at One 6 1/2 Station Road, tax map number
14	12-1-13.2 and 2564 State Route 17M, tax map
15	number 12-1-13.1, Goshen, New York, collectively
16	to be known as the Land herein; (ii) the
17	demolition of approximately 5,000 square feet of
18	the existing approximately 60,000 square foot
19	building, known as the Building, used as a frozen
20	food manufacturing facility and the construction
21	of an approximately 40,000 square foot addition
22	to the Building to provide for among other things
23	a new loading dock, refrigerated refuse area,
24	freezer space, processing area, two manufacturing
25	areas and office space and the renovation of

25

certain portions of the existing Building including but not limited to the packaging, processing, storage and freezing areas, collectively with the Building known as the Facility; (iii) The acquisition and installation in and on the Facility of furniture, fixtures and equipment, collectively the Equipment, and together with the Land and the Facility, known as quote the Project Facility; (B) The granting of certain financial assistance in the form of exemptions from State and local sales and use tax, mortgage recording tax and real property tax, collectively the Financial Assistance; (C) The appointment of the Company or its designee as an agent of the Agency, the IDA, in connection with the acquisition, construction, equipping and completion of the Project Facility; and (D) The acquisition of an interest in the Land and Facility by the Agency pursuant to a lease agreement and the acquisition of an interest in the Equipment pursuant to a bill of sale from the Company to the Agency; and the sublease of the Project Facility back to the Company pursuant to a lease back agreement. The Company will be the initial owner or operator of the Project. The

Agency will at the above-stated time hear all
persons with views with respect to the proposed
Financial Assistance to the Company, the proposed
owner/operator, the location of the Project
Facility and the nature of the Project. So those
are what comments should be about, this project
as it pertains to potential financial incentives
from the IDA. A copy of the application filed by
the Company with the Agency with respect to the
Project including an analysis of the costs and
benefits of the Project are available for public
inspection during the business hours at the
office of the Agency located at 4 Crotty Lane,
Suite 100, New Windsor, New York 12553 or on its
website at https://www.ocnyida.com. I'd like to
underscore those facts for those that don't know
our office is currently located at the Stewart
Airport Campus, 4 Crotty Lane is basically at the
top of the hill, at the top of the campus but our
website is designed and constantly improved to be
ever transparent for everything that we do, every
project comes before us, every detail is posted
on that website. Again, that's www.ocnyida.com.
You go to the home page right away first of all
you'll see an opportunity to link for the

б

40	livestream of this event of any of our, of all of
*	our meetings are public by the way, you can
i	access the livestream from the home page and you
	can also go to the active projects section,
	there's a menu at the top, active projects and
	you look at new, any project that's not only
*	currently being considered by the IDA but any
	that is under is the term of their incentives is
	still active. If somebody got a 15 year PILOT 14
	years ago, the information for that project will
	be on our web page until the 15th year term runs
	out. If you want information on a past project
	that is not considered active and is on our
	website we will give you any piece of information
	you or anyone else wants. Transparency is the
	name of the game with the IDA so I wanted to be
	clear about that. Just a couple other things.
	The IDA for a projects like this our board of
	directors is considering, we always do a cost
	benefit analysis so, and using a tool that's a
	proven tool used in the industry, this is
	actually a new version that we purchased last
	year from a group called MRB Group, they
	specialize in this type of work, basically what
	we'll do is we enter all of the project

information, construction jobs, budgets, what the taxes on the land would be without the project, what the taxes would be with the project without the incentives, every detail of the PILOT, again, the abatement schedule, everything is included there and basically in the end it spits out what it considers to be various values. First of all, the sales tax exemption being requested by Mack Bros./Milmar, the value that they estimate based upon their budget, again, building materials, furniture, fixtures, equipment, et cetera, that total projected sales tax exemption is \$487,500. The mortgage recording tax, again, the 1.05 percent off the principal of the mortgage that's \$75,000 and the PILOT over the course of a 15 year PILOT which again is what the IDA currently makes available for applications for manufacturers over the entire course of the 15 year PILOT the applicant would pay, make payments even though they're getting a tax break they will be making incremental tax payments during the whole term and those payments total projected to be \$3,972,054.46, again, just shy of \$4 million. And I want to be clear this is just on the expansion that Milmar/Mack Bros. is doing, not on

25

the existing facility, none of those taxes change, they never go down, when a PILOT expires the taxes can never go down again or be exempted or abated ever again. So they will be paying a total of almost \$4 million in payments, there will be a saving over that term however of \$2,246,324.96, so the total valve of incentives that this applicant if approved would benefit from would be \$2,808,824.96, so certainly considerable incentives. In order to create, they're projecting 50 jobs is what they're committing to over the course of the next three years and quite frankly that adding to our property tax base here in the Town of Goshen and further supporting and enabling the growth of a quality employer here in the town, that's the intention, that's the reason we even consider these incentives, but again there's certainly value to them. I believe I mentioned this earlier, not sure if everyone else was in the building, but there's a copy right here in front if you want to come up for the cost benefit analysis as well as the PILOT schedule which really just says that year by year over the 15

25

1

2

years what the applicant would be paying if this

PILOT among the other benefits is approved. One
other thing I will point out in year 16 when this
PILOT expires the taxes are due to be \$483,948 so
the taxes from that point year 16 it will be that
and only going up from there unless Mr. Betro
decides to lower the taxes for the municipality
but taxes generally go up as a rule of course so
that's the case. And I will also add special
districts over the course of the PILOT again they
will pay special district taxes in full, they
will be contributing \$216,216.89 to those special
district funds. Again, the cost benefit analysis
now, the total cost of the incentives \$3,025,042,
local benefits a value of \$77,791,630, these are
the benefits to us based upon the jobs,
construction, permanent taxes being paid, et
cetera, total benefit to the state and region
\$81,713,063, so it's roughly \$82 million
projected economic impact as a result of this
project. And that impact is just during the term
of the PILOT. They will be creating value
through jobs for years to come and property taxes
paid but just during the period of the PILOT
again the value, the benefit to the state and to
the local municipality is \$81,713,000. Lastly,

1	we do a benefit to cost ratio so you know based
2	upon what it's costing us, again, what were the
3	incentives that would be given if approved versus
4	the actual benefits, the economic impact benefits
5	locally with a benefit of just under \$67 million
6	to us, and a cost of \$2,429,000, that locally the
7	benefit to cost ratio is 28 to one. So we
8	project an economic impact and benefit to the
9	local area of 28 times the value of the
10	incentives. The state however it's a lower
11	amount, less value to the state and they're
12	giving up more of a sales tax exemption, the
13	benefit to the state is \$3,379,707 at a cost of
14	\$310,000, the benefit to cost ratio statewide is
15	11 to one so the value of the incentives is 11
16	times the value of the incentives is what will be
17	the benefit locally here. So we're going to get
18	to public comment in a moment. The only other
19	thing I'd like to add is the fact that this is
20	not, this is a public hearing, this is not a back
21	and forth. I want to give all full respect and
22	time to people that come up, make their comments,
23	but if you have a question or a comment that
24	maybe is deserving of a response, I'll write
25	those down, I'll save all of those till the end

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I may ask the applicant to respond to a question or comment or I may not but I may based upon what we hear. Make sure we've covered everything else. And I already know, Fran, I'm talking too quickly for you but we have a recording for you as needed, you and I go way back as this has been a longstanding problem that I've not fixed, but we're keeping things moving. With all that said, I'm going to grab this if I can reach it, and our first two gentlemen, we'll actually hear from the applicant so I don't know who would like to speak first, we don't need a long presentation but we want to make sure people understand the project itself, the scope, the scale, et cetera. Mr. Cappello, why don't you start.

MR. CAPPELLO: Good evening, late afternoon,
John Cappello, as Bill said, with Jacobowitz &
Gubits, I'm here on behalf of the applicant to
present what we believe is an exciting
opportunity for the Town of Goshen, the County of
Orange and State of New York. I also have here
with me Dave Higgins, the engineer, who I will
turn this over to very quickly because he will
explain exactly what the project is, he's been

involved since day one, and Roy Makinen, who is with Mack Bros./Milmar Foods, who is here to discuss the history of this company. He's been a long time Goshen resident who has been providing jobs in Goshen and is looking, seeking to upgrade and expand their operations to improve and upgrade the facilities, make them more competitive and also provide as Bill said approximately 50 new jobs to the area of people who will hopefully come, will stay in the area, will be part of the community and help make Goshen and our area a more diverse community. The benefits that we'll receive will help offset the cost and really make this project go, I don't have to tell anyone in the office, you know, in this room what has been happening with inflation, with interest rates on any loans, with Covid and the loss and the need to upgrade your work force, all of those have just increased the cost enormously on any project. So these IDA benefits will help offset those costs and it also helps I believe the purpose that the state formed an IDA was to make our state one, more competitive, two allow people who are investing in our state to have some opportunity when they're initially

25

taking the construction loan and doing the buildup to catch up, to get fully operating, get employees fully there, get their operations fully functional. You don't start operating like that the day you make improvements. The prorating of, and the abatements of taxes and some of the fees over a time will allow them to pay more as they begin operation, as they get their feet on the ground and begin operating. I believe that is an important purpose of the IDA. And the third and it can't be understated enough this project because it will receive IDA benefits, if it does, will be required to use local labor. So instead of people coming from Tennessee who will come and have no commitment to the community, come here, do cheap labor, build it and leave, you will be helping promote and provide more jobs and benefits for your local labor force to help build it and keep those businesses and keep that money in our community. The money they make building this they will likely spend in our community which is one of the benefits as Bill said. they will also be paid good New York wages versus Tennessee wages. So I think all of that adds up to allow a really great upgrade to the facility

25

to provide people to provide more diversity to our community, to provide the types of jobs we I would say that these are some management jobs, manufacturing jobs, this is not a warehouse. As someone who goes around this area a lot that word has become that this is manufacturing, this is the type of development I hear every day people say this is the type of development we need in the area. It's someone who has made a commitment to this community already who will be able to continue to do it hopefully for another generation and do it in a competitive manner. So with that, I'm going to have Dave briefly go through the logistics of the actual project.

MR. FIORAVANTI: If I could, Mr. Higgins, before you start, I want to just touch on a couple things that Mr. Cappello said. First of all, local labor, that's local construction labor, the Orange County IDA has had since 2016 a local labor policy that requires that if you get incentives, any incentives from the IDA that 85 percent of your construction labor needs to be from the local work sheds we call it, it's not locally meaning not just Orange County, we

frankly could not possibly provide enough workers just from Orange County, the local work shed really is defined as the seven county region basically from here, Sullivan County, Ulster County, all the way down to Westchester, so those counties. And the second piece I want to make sure people understand is there's a new law that was passed by New York State in effect as of January 1, 2022 for prevailing wage, that any project, construction, commercial construction project that is over a \$5 million budget, construction budget, land acquisition and such and if it's over \$5 million and if more than 30 percent of the budget for the project comes from public sources, IDA benefits, state grants, whatever it might be, then that construction project must be built by prevailing wage. laborers that are on-site must make prevailing wage, not necessarily union but union certainly prevailing wage but it must be prevailing wage and that is in effect on this project from what we see in the plans. Just wanted to be clear. Sorry about that, Mr. Higgins.

MR. HIGGINS: No problem. Thank you. Good afternoon, David Higgins from Lanc & Tully

24

25

Engineering and Surveying. We have been working
with Milmar on this project for many, many months
and also have been in front of the planning board
many times to progress this plan through their
approval process. Just to give a brief rundown
of the project, this is tax lot 12-1-13.2, it's
approximately 18.7 acres, it's located on the
north side of New York State Route 17M and on the
west side of 6 1/2 Station Road, property's known
as One 6 1/2 Station Road in Goshen, it's in the
town's ORI district which, and the CO district
which is a commercial office mixed use district
and food processing use is permitted with a
special use permit by the planning board. This
map that we have here represents the existing
conditions for the site. This is an aerial
overview and I'll take the clips off here, so
this shows the existing facilities. Basically
right now they total 61,104 square feet, they are
in need of some renovation and certainly
expansion to meet Milmar's needs as they progress
into the future with expanded growth and to meet
standards for all the food processing
requirements that come out of that. They do have
access off 6 1/2 Station Road, there's right now

three means of access, some parking areas up front, pavement right now is in a various state of condition, some of the areas need to be renovated. And so we have a plan here, I'll turn the page over, so this is an overview of essentially the site plan that we have before the planning board. And basically just to highlight some of the building additions that are proposed, this is a 27,762 square foot freezer which is located on the west side of the site. Currently Milmar uses an off-site freezer, I believe it's actually in New Jersey, so they wanted to bring the freezer space here, basically avoid truck trips from here to the off-site facility in New Jersey and back and forth. There's also a 9,110 square foot production room which is located on the south side of the building, and then some other facilities, a 1,276 square foot dry storage room, a 1,276 square foot dock office, in this area where there's an existing barn right now that is going to be demolished they're putting a 2,251 square foot test kitchen with two chef offices and then there's some other various facilities around the back, there's a packaging room, a trash refuse room and then some

25

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	=
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

miscellaneous corridors and whatnot that connect all these spaces. Up front the planning board has us basically looking to improve the aesthetics of the site from 6 1/2 Station Road and 17M so we have some landscaping improvements, we're going to be abandoning one of the entrances and just have a truck entrance in the back and parking area up front. So one of those entrances is being removed and we are providing some additional parking around the facility around the back end. Right now the current site has 95 parking spaces, with the improvements up here and the additional parking around the back of the building we're going to increase that to 162 parking spaces. There's improved accessibility around the building, we're basically going to be paving, replacing the gravel drive with pavement and basically improve circulation around the building which is important for emergency vehicle There will be an underground storage facility for water and fire protection service, we have that right now, the size is a 30,000 gallon underground storage tank, there's also some landscaping improvements on the periphery of the facility so that was also again something the

1	planning board was looking for. There are
2	several other permits and approvals that we do
3	need to obtain in addition to the site plan and
4	special use permit that we need to obtain from
5	the planning board. There's a nationwide permit
6	from some wetlands disturbance from the U.S. Army
7	Corps of Engineers that's under a tenth of an
8	acre that qualifies as a nationwide permit with
9	the Army Corps. We will need to get a permit
10	from the New York State DEC for storm water SPDES
11	and the project was referred to the Orange County
12	Department of Planning under the GML-239M
13	regulations. With regard to the SEQRA act, the
14	planning board acted as lead agency and they
15	granted a negative declaration on July 21, 2022,
16	we are right now in the process of finalizing
17	site plan approval and special use permit
18	approval with the planning board, they have
19	resolution conditional approval prepared
20	according, we're just going through those last
21	items so we believe that we will have that closed
22	out with the planning board probably in the next
23	one to two months I would say. So with that, I
24	will hand the presentation over to Roy Makinen
25	from Milmar who can provide some additional

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

25

information.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Very good, thank you, sir. Mr. Makinen?

MR. MAKINEN: Thank you very much. First of all, thank you very much to the board, thank you. Inflation, it was very well said, costs, wages, everything is going up so quickly and we want to grow, we want to stay here, we want to grow. We have 240 people, we'd like to bring the other 50 people in. We'd like to get out of New Jersey, we'd like to bring everything out to our own property. We have 60 acres but we're only using the 18 acre parcel. Again, we can bring the people in a lot quicker with your help and we'd greatly appreciate it. It's a family business, we've been here since 1989 and we're looking to grow and stay here.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Can you tell us how many current employees you have right now?

MR. MAKINEN: 240.

MR. FIORAVANTI: A lot of people don't realize that, that is kind of tucked away there, not everyone realizes that. Okay, very good thank you.

MR. MAKINEN: Thanks very much.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Without further ado, I'm going to open it up for public comment, start right with County Legislator Michael Anagnostakis.

MR. ANAGNOSTAKIS: Where would you like me to speak from?

MR. FIORAVANTI: Right in the front.

MR. ANAGNOSTAKIS: Thank you for having me here, first of all, thank you board member for having me here. And as you know, I'm Orange County Legislator Mike Anagnostakis but I'm also Senior Advisor to Senator James Skoufis. And I want to make it clear I'm representing him today here. Anyone that knows me knows that any time I speak it's off the cuff, I never have anything written down, but this time I will read exactly what the words and the sentiment of the Senator are so I have no misunderstandings at all.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Very good.

MR. ANAGNOSTAKIS: So Senator Skoufis strongly opposes, is opposed to the Payment In Lieu Of Taxes for the Mack Bros. project.

Besides the fact that Mack Bros.' application cites a one percent estimate of sales within Orange County, a \$60 million projection of income

1	within their first three years of operation and
2	that Mack Bros. is already getting \$7.2 million
3	in USDA and ESD grants for this project, the
4	simple matter of fact is that the applicant
5	concedes on their application that they would in
6	fact move forward with this project regardless of
7	if they received an IDA incentive or not.
8	Nothing more needs to be considered. IDA
9	benefits when applied properly which this IDA
10	regularly do not do abide by the "but for" clause
11	of economic development, but for this incentive
12	the project would not happen. The fact that a
13	definite no has not already been communicated to
14	the applicant by the IDA staff is alarming but
15	unsurprising given the agency's history of
16	disregarding taxpayers. If the IDA approves a 15
17	year PILOT or any PILOT at all for a project and
18	jobs that are unquestionably going to move
19	forward regardless of an incentive that would be
20	more of the same, borderline criminality and
21	shameless graft coming out of this IDA. We look
22	forward to the new jobs Mack Bros. will create
23	and to their continued operation in Goshen. Our
24	office, the Senator's office, remains a partner
25	to all local businesses and we look forward to

the future success and endeavors here in Orange County so long as it is not needless and on the backs of property taxpayers. Senator Skoufis urges the Orange County IDA to reject, to reject the PILOT and finally do the right thing for the taxpayers of Orange County. Thank you for your time. May I hand this in for the record?

MR. FIORAVANTI: Please. Thank you, sir.

MR. ANAGNOSTAKIS: Thank you.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Next would be Legislator

James O'Donnell, County Legislator.

MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you, appreciate it.

Thank you, sir, for since '89 coming to Goshen,
coming to Orange County in spite of the fact that

New York State ranks 49th out of 50 in doing

business. Alright, the reason we have IDAs is

because of that fact. If the senate, the

assembly and the governor's office would fix that

then perhaps we wouldn't need IDAs. This is the

second time I've heard Senator Skoufis say

borderline criminality. I don't know exactly

what that means, borderline, but in case you've

done anything criminal my background is the state

police and five years as a chief of the police of

the MTA, if he's got anything criminality wise

please bring it forward forthwith. As you know,
I was the leader of recovering \$1.3 million of
the IDA, the past board. I want to congratulate
the current board and you as doing the right
thing stepping up and serving the public. And
for you, sir, bringing 50 more jobs to the County
of Orange you should be congratulated on that and
also for hiring local companies to help you to
get this successfully and continue your business
that has been a cornerstone of our community
since '89. Alright, again, Senator Skoufis is a
hard working guy, he's in charge of
investigations though, alright, he has the
subpoena power, he has a lot of influence in
Albany. I wish he would have went after the
previous IDA board as much as he talks about
borderline criminality which is just ridiculous
as far as putting a stain on the good people that
sit on the board now and yourself, I find that
borderline criminal. Alright, I do find it
disgraceful. So if he's got anything, put it on
the table. Again, sir, congratulations, thank
you.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Next is Zach Constantine.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11.	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25

Thank you, Bill, it's good MR. CONSTANTINE: to see you. Thank you for your transparency in putting together this meeting, I was glad I was able to make it. I will be brief. I do want to echo Senator Skoufis' calls here to reject this PILOT. I think that there's a couple concerns that come to mind. I'm here today as a resident of this community. What comes to mind after hearing some of the speakers that went before me here is that the people who really invest in our state, in our community year after year, month after month, are the taxpaying residents of I heard inflation mentioned, inflation is a factor, and those folks, those taxpaying residents deserve a break for those very same reasons. And even though Milmar wouldn't necessarily be getting a tax cut that would raise the taxes of local residents, is local residents tax payments that won't be offset by the contributions of a larger business if they paid their fair share like all of us do. And that's why I'm particularly concerned about this project, they're getting assistance already, many other ways, assistance that smaller and medium size businesses don't often have access to. And

on that point, there are far too many small and 1 medium size businesses, even some right here in 2 Goshen that do have a barrier to entry as far as 3 doing things that they want to do with their 4 projects and that's why the disregard for the "but for" clause in this particular project is really troubling to me. That is something that this body is held to specifically to make sure that we are attracting the types of businesses that we otherwise would not have. I do thank you for everything you've done for the community of Goshen and being a part of our community for so many years but you're here and there are several other projects that potentially could be here that we could be working very hard to attract to make sure they come here and stay here if they were given the incentives that they need. while this board is going out of their way to provide transparency now and put information on the website and share these details with residents, the residents of this community would benefit so greatly from more ratables from a business of this size coming on the tax rolls 24 paying their fair share and being held to the 25 same standards as everybody else. The PILOT is

simply too large for far too many years for it to really benefit the community as a whole when they would benefit so much more if this business that's still going to be here paid their fair share. So I do want to echo the claims of Senator Skoufis, I think this PILOT should be rejected or changed.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Thank you, Mr. Constantine.
And lastly, Katie Lancellotti.

MS. LANCELLOTTI: So thank you and thanks for the opportunity to speak. As Bill said, I'm Katie Lancellotti, I represent the Orange County Partnership. I am here today to express our unwavering support of this project. As a local family owned business that's expanding and continuing to contribute to the Town of Goshen this company which is in an industry that we are constantly trying to attract and trying to keep here is desiring to stay here in Orange County and not only stay here but continue to thrive here which they have done since the late '80s. So I just wanted to be brief, just say that we continue to support their continued growth and their request for incentives to keep them here and to expand with their workforce space.

MR. FIORAVANTI: Thanks very much, Katie. I have no other folks signed up to speak so unless I hear anything else?

MR. CAPPELLO: I just wanted to clarify something for the record that was said. I know there's comments that well, they're here already but they've stated that it may be many years from now that they may be able to do this expansion and upgrade. So to punish someone who's made a commitment to the area to try to attract something outside and new really makes no sense if we want to help those who have invested in our community. And I know from a legal perspective I've always been taught in marketing your best market is the people, your clients who already know the work you do instead of looking outside and trying to go, this is the same here, this is someone you know who's already made a commitment here who's telling you and told you on the record and been honest with you to say yes, we may do it but we're not going to do it for several years because we will not be able to do it and therefore those jobs that are in Jersey will stay in Jersey and not come to New York. other thing I really think is important to know

because I've represented a number of developers in this region who have been faced with this situation who have been faced with opposition and what have many of them have done, many of them have looked and said well I can get the 485-b tax exemptions which is still going to abate my taxes which is very seldom mentioned here and how am I going to make up the difference? I'm going to go hire those people in Tennessee or Pennsylvania and who are going to work for much cheaper labor, they're going to take their checks when they make their checks they're going to go back to Tennessee, back to Pennsylvania, they're going to shop, they're going to eat in restaurants in Tennessee and Pennsylvania, they're going to educate their kids in Tennessee and Pennsylvania, they're going to pay for karate instruction and dance instruction for their children in Tennessee and Pennsylvania, while the workers on IDA projects who use local labor that money will go here and it will help union workers, it will help labor and it will help our force. argument I'm hearing almost is forget about no, New York, let's use outside labor, build it cheaper because, and that's not benefiting your

25

taxpayers at the end of the day and it's hard,
but I've seen it, I've seen it in action and
that's what happens. Now I'm not saying Milmar
is going to do it. They committed they want to
use local labor but this is what you're faced
with and this is the purpose of the IDA is to
keep those jobs in New York and to keep employing
New Yorkers instead of looking outside.

MR. FIORAVANTI: I appreciate that, John. And I will underscore a couple of your points. First of all, that to the "but for" clause, the applicant, to be clear, the public, everyone in attendance, the applicant did respond saying that they would expect that if they didn't get the incentives they would hope to continue with the project but as John said, is outlining, it wouldn't be for several years. So the IDA if they were to approve the incentives the motivation frankly would be to realize the jobs and multiplier effect that Mr. Cappello is talking about, the 75 percent of one's earnings are spent locally, to be able to realize those as well as adding the incremental taxes, the property taxes they will be paying throughout the term of the PILOT and at full boat so to speak

going forward, so that's the only reason the IDA would consider those benefits even if they're saying the project would, they would contemplate it going forward. And just to again touch on what Mr. Cappello said about the 485-b, so for anyone in attendance or in the public that's tuning in that is not aware, a 485-b is I like to describe it simply as half a PILOT essentially and it's as of right, meaning that any commercial construction project in New York State has a right to apply for a 485-b, and by the way, it's not much of an application process, you typically go to the municipal clerk, town clerk lets say, you fill out a form and that's it. It is as of right and again, in New York how it works typically you, an applicant if they get a 485-b they would start paying taxes at 50 percent of the ultimately new tax rate starting at 50 percent. And those taxes will increase five percent a year over ten years until they get to the full boat again. So, however, it's as of right and there are no restrictions, no strings attached so to speak, unlike IDA benefits, where again we have our local labor policy so you would have to use 85 percent local labor. Again, with

25

Also the prevailing wage law applies because of these incentives tip the scale in terms of triggering the requirement for local construction laborers to be paid by prevailing wage so I think that's important to point those out. I'm glad that you did clarify that, Mr. Cappello. And that those are the types of factors that I think motivate the IDA board to consider these. So I'll just open it up one more time on the floor if anyone wants to make additional comments or anyone else? Mr. Betro, if you wanted to say something please, Mr. Supervisor?

MR. BETRO: Just a couple of questions, sure, for the record. Since the Goshen residents are paying for this IDA benefit, can you tell me how many employees of the 230 live in Goshen?

MR. MAKINEN: No, I could not right now. I honestly could not. I could look it up for you.

MR. BETRO: Yeah, I'd like to know. And would you be willing to guarantee that at least 25 of those 50 jobs would come from Goshen residents?

MR. MAKINEN: We'd be more than happy, we're, I've been part of the area for 30 years.

	MACK BROS. LTD
1	MR. BETRO: I understand that.
2	MR. MAKINEN: I think that this is the
3	hardest hiring time I've ever seen. We're doing
4	everything to find as many people as possible.
5	MR. BETRO: And
6	MR. MAKINEN: And as they leave we try to
7	hire locals, that's our goal.
8	MR. BETRO: Okay, so you can say for the
9	record that you will guarantee at least 25 of the
LO	jobs will come from Goshen residents if they
L1	apply?
L2	MR. FIORAVANTI: I was going to say if they
L3	apply, you can't guarantee that they'll apply.
L4	MR. MAKINEN: If we can hire them that would
15	be fabulous, love to have that.
L6	MR. BETRO: Thank you.
L7	MR. FIORAVANTI: Thank you, Mr. Supervisor.
L8	Any other comments? Hearing none at 4:53 we will
19	close the public hearing for Mack Bros./Milmar.
20	And again, I want to reiterate to the public that
21	may be listening in or watching this at a later
22	time or anyone here if you have other questions
23	you want to discuss this, you want to debate it a
24	little bit kind of on the side, I'm always happy

to have those conversations, my contact

information is on the website, if someone here
wants to get my cell phone, whatever it might be,
I'd be happy to give that out but we appreciate
you being a part of the process. This is what
America's all about, this whole public process so
we appreciate everyone being here. Thank you,
Mr. Makinen and your associates for providing all
that detail and again, we're going to close the
public hearing now 4:54 p.m. on November 17th.
Thank you all for being here, have a good day.

* * * * * * * * * * * *

End time 4:54 p.m.

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-I-O-N

I, FRANCES ROTH, a Stenographic Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing is an accurate record of the testimony, as given, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the same having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

That I am not related to any of the parties involved in this matter, and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

FRANCES ROTH

Here representing Senator Skoufis' strong opposition to a payment in lieu of taxes for the Mack Bro's project

- Besides the facts that the Mack Bro's application cites a 1% estimate of sales within Orange County, a \$60M projection of income within their first three years of operation and that Mack Bro's is already getting \$7.2M in USDA and ESD grants for this project-the simple matter of fact is that the applicant concedes on their application that they WOULD, in fact, still move forward with this project regardless of if they receive an IDA incentive or not.
- That is a hard stop. Nothing more needs to be considered. IDA benefits, when applied properly which this IDA has regularly *not* done for a very long time abide by the "but for" clause of economic development: *but for* this incentive, the project would not happen.
- The fact that a definitive "no" has not already been communicated to the applicant by the IDAstaff is alarming but unsurprising given the agency's history of disregarding taxpayers. If the IDA approves a 15-year PILOT or any PILOT for a project and jobs that are unquestionably going to move forward regardless of an incentive would be more of the same: borderline criminality and shameless graft coming out of this IDA.
- We look forward to the new jobs Mack Bros will create and to their continued operation in Goshen. Our office remains a partner to all local businesses and we look forward to their future success and endeavors here in Orange County so long as it's not needlessly on the backs of property taxpayers.
- Senator Skoufis urges the OC IDA to reject the PILOT and finally do the right thing by taxpayers.